

Research Article

# Uniqueness and Differential Polynomials of Meromorphic Functions

Nintu Mandal

Department of Mathematics, Chandernagore College, Chandernagore, Hooghly-712136,  
West Bengal, India

**Abstract.** Using Nevanlinna value distribution theory, we study the uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomials and prove a theorem. The results of the paper improve the recent results due to Waghmare and Anand [5].

**Keywords:** Meromorphic functions, Uniqueness, Differential Polynomial, Sharing Values.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30D35

## 1. Introduction and Definitions

In this paper we use the standard definitions and notations of the value distribution theory [3]. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $a$  CM (counting multiplicities) if the  $a$ -points of  $f$  and  $g$  coincide in locations and multiplicities. If we do not consider the multiplicities, we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $a$  IM (ignoring multiplicities).

We denote by  $S(r, f)$  any quantity satisfying  $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  possibly outside a set of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function  $a = a(z)$  is called small function of  $f$  if  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . we denote by  $N(r, a; f)$  the counting function of all the  $a$ -points of  $f$  and by  $\overline{N}(r, a; f)$  the corresponding one for which the multiplicity is not counted.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . For a positive integer  $k$  we denote by  $N_k(r, a; f)$  the counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$ , where an  $a$ -point of multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times if and only if  $m \leq k$  and  $k$  times if and only if  $m > k$ .

In 1996 Fang and Hua [2] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem A** (see [2]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant entire functions. Also let  $n \geq 6$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share the value 1 CM, then one of the following holds*

- (i)  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c$  are three constants satisfying  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ .
- (ii)  $f = kg$  for a constant  $k$  such that  $k^{n+1} = 1$ .

In 2004, Lin and Yi [4] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem B** (see [4]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental meromorphic functions and let  $n \geq 13$  be an integer. If  $f^n(f-1)^2 f'$  and  $g^n(g-1)^2 g'$  share  $z$  CM, then  $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ .*

**Corresponding Author**

Nintu Mandal  
nintu311209@gmail.com

**Editor**

Alberto Cabada

**Dates**

Received 10 November 2016  
Accepted 20 June 2017

Copyright © 2017 Nintu Mandal. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Recently H. P. Waghmare and S. Anand [5] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem C** (see [5]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and  $n, m$  be positive integers such that  $n \geq m + 10$ . If  $f^n(f - 1)^m f'$  and  $g^n(g - 1)^m g'$  share  $z$  CM,  $f$  and  $g$  share the value  $\infty$  IM, then  $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ .*

Since

$$f^n f' = \frac{1}{n+1} (f^{n+1})'$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^n(f - 1)^m f' &= \frac{1}{n+1} (f^{n+1})' (f - 1)^m \\ &= \left[ f^{n+1} \left( \frac{{}^n C_m}{n+m+1} f^m - \frac{{}^n C_{m-1}}{n+m} f^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{{}^n C_0}{n+1} (-1)^m \right) \right]', \end{aligned}$$

therefore it is natural to consider the uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning more general kind differential polynomial, such as  $[f^n L(f)]^{(m)}$ , where  $L(z) = \lambda_l z^l + \lambda_{l-1} z^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_l \neq 0, \lambda_{l-1}, \lambda_{l-2}, \dots, \lambda_1, \lambda_0 \neq 0$  are complex constants.

In this paper we prove the following result

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental meromorphic functions such that  $f$  and  $g$  share  $\infty$  IM, let  $n, m, l$  be three positive integers such that  $n > l + 3m + 7$ . Let  $L(z) = \lambda_l z^l + \lambda_{l-1} z^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_l \neq 0, \lambda_{l-1}, \lambda_{l-2}, \dots, \lambda_1, \lambda_0 \neq 0$  are complex constants. If  $[f^n L(f)]^{(m)}$  and  $[g^n L(g)]^{(m)}$  share  $z$  CM then one of the following cases holds:*

- (i)  $f = e^{\alpha_1}$ , and  $g = e^{\alpha_2}$  where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are nonconstant entire functions.
- (ii)  $f = kg$  for a constant  $k$  such that  $k^p = 1$ , where  $p = n+l-i, \lambda_{l-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l$ .
- (iii)  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy algebraic equation  $Q(x_1, x_2) = 0$ , where

$$Q(x_1, x_2) = x_1^n (\lambda_l x_1^l + \lambda_{l-1} x_1^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0) - x_2^n (\lambda_l x_2^l + \lambda_{l-1} x_2^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0)$$

## 2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which are required in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [7]). *Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and let  $\lambda_l \neq 0, \lambda_{l-1}, \lambda_{l-2}, \dots, \lambda_1, \lambda_0$  be small functions with respect to  $f$ . Then*

$$T(r, \lambda_l f^l + \lambda_{l-1} f^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0) = lT(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f, g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing 1 CM and  $\infty$  IM. Then one of the following cases holds:*

- (i)  $f \equiv g$ .

(ii)

$$T(r, f) \leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

and

$$T(r, g) \leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

(iii)  $fg \equiv 1$ .

*Proof.* We omit the proof since it can be proved easily using Lemma 2.5 [1] □

**Lemma 2.3** (see [6]). *Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and  $k$  be a positive integer. Also let  $c$  be a nonzero finite complex number. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq N_{k+1}(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f^{(k)} - c) + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) - N_0(r, 0; f^{(k+1)}) + S(r, f),$$

where  $N_0(r, 0; f^{(k+1)})$  denotes the counting function of the zeros of  $f^{(k+1)}$  which are not zeros of  $f^{(k)} - c$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (see [4]). *Let  $f$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function and  $p, k$  be two positive integers. Then*

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f),$$

and

$$N_p(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq N_{p+k}(r, 0; f) + k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. Also let  $F = [f^n L(f)]^{(m)}$  and  $G = [g^n L(g)]^{(m)}$ , where  $L(z) = \lambda_l z^l + \lambda_{l-1} z^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_l \neq 0, \lambda_{l-1}, \lambda_{l-2}, \dots, \lambda_1, \lambda_0 \neq 0$  are complex constants. If there exists three nonzero constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , such that  $\alpha_1 F + \alpha_2 G = \alpha_3$  then  $n \leq 3m + l + 3$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+l)T(r, f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_{m+1}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}(r, 0; F - \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty : f) + N_{m+1}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) + \overline{N}(r, 0; G) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty : f) + N_{m+1}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) + N_{m+1}(r, 0; g^n L(g)) \\ &\quad + m\overline{N}(r, \infty; g^n L(g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \tag{2.1} \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty : f) + N_{m+1}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) \\ &\quad + N_{m+1}(r, 0; g^n L(g)) + m\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (m+l+2)T(r, f) + (2m+l+1)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(n + l)T(r, g) \leq (m + l + 2)T(r, g) + (2m + l + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$(n - 3m - 3 - l)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g) \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.3) we get  $n \leq 3m + l + 3$ . □

### 3. Proofs of the Main Results

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $F = f^n L(f)$ ,  $G = g^n L(g)$ ,  $F_1 = [f^n L(f)]^{(m)}$ ,  $G_1 = [g^n L(g)]^{(m)}$ ,  $F^* = \frac{F}{z}$  and  $G^* = \frac{G}{z}$ . Clearly  $F^*$  and  $G^*$  share 1 CM and  $\infty$  IM. Hence by Lemma 2.2 one of the following holds:

- (i)  $F^* \equiv G^*$ .
- (ii)  $T(r, F^*) \leq N_2(r, 0; F^*) + N_2(r, 0; G^*) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; F^*) + S(r, F^*) + S(r, G^*)$   
and  $T(r, G^*) \leq N_2(r, 0; F^*) + N_2(r, 0; G^*) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; G^*) + S(r, F^*) + S(r, G^*)$ .
- (iii)  $F^* G^* \equiv 1$ .

So we have to consider the following cases.

Case I:  $F^* \equiv G^*$  i.e.  $F_1 \equiv G_1$ . Integrating we have

$$(f^n L(f))^{(m-1)} \equiv (g^n L(g))^{(m-1)} + c_{m-1},$$

where  $c_{m-1}$  is a constant. If  $c_{m-1} \neq 0$  then by Lemma 2.5 we arrive at a contradiction. Hence  $c_{m-1} = 0$ . Repeating the same process for  $m - 1$  times, we get

$$f^n L(f) \equiv g^n L(g) \tag{3.1}$$

From (3.1) we have

$$f^n(\lambda_l f^l + \lambda_{l-1} f^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0) = g^n(\lambda_l g^l + \lambda_{l-1} g^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0) \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $k = \frac{f}{g}$ .

If  $k$  is a constant then substituting  $f = kg$  into (3.2) we get

$$\lambda_l g^{n+l}(k^{n+l} - 1) + \lambda_{l-1} g^{n+l-1}(k^{n+l-1} - 1) + \dots + \lambda_0 g^n(k^n - 1) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

which implies that  $k^p = 1$ , where  $p = n + l - i$ ,  $\lambda_{l-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Hence  $f \equiv kg$  for a constant  $k$ , such that  $k^p = 1$ , where  $p = n + l - i$ ,  $\lambda_{l-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

If  $k$  is not a constant, then by (3.3)  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the algebraic equation  $Q(x_1, x_2) = 0$ , where

$$Q(x_1, x_2) = x_1^n(\lambda_l x_1^l + \lambda_{l-1} x_1^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0) - x_2^n(\lambda_l x_2^l + \lambda_{l-1} x_2^{l-1} + \dots + \lambda_0)$$

Case II: Since  $F^*$  and  $G^*$  share 1 CM and  $\infty$  IM therefore by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$T(r, F^*) \leq N_2(r, 0; F^*) + N_2(r, 0; G^*) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; F^*) + S(r, F^*) + S(r, G^*) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$T(r, G^*) \leq N_2(r, 0; F^*) + N_2(r, 0; G^*) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; G^*) + S(r, F^*) + S(r, G^*) \tag{3.5}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ ,  $r \in I$ , where  $I$  is a set of finite measure. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 we get

$$N_2(r, 0; F_1) \leq T(r, F_1) - (n + l)T(r, f) + N_{2+m}(r, 0; F) + S(r, F)$$

That is

$$N_2(r, 0; F_1) \leq T(r, F_1) - T(r, F) + N_{2+m}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) + S(r, f) \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are transcendental using Lemma 2.1 we have from (3.4)

$$T(r, F_1) \leq N_2(r, 0; F_1) + N_2(r, 0; G_1) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; F_1) + S(r, F_1) + S(r, G_1) \tag{3.7}$$

Using Lemma 2.4 from (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + l)T(r, f) &\leq N_2(r, 0; G_1) + N_{2+m}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_{2+m}(r, 0; g^n L(g)) + N_{2+m}(r, 0; f^n L(f)) \\ &\quad + (m + 3)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (3m + 2l + 7)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with  $n > 3m + l + 7$ .

Case III:  $F^* G^* \equiv 1$ . That is

$$[f^n L(f)]^{(m)} [g^n L(g)]^{(m)} \equiv z^2 \tag{3.8}$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  share  $\infty$  IM therefore from (3.8) it follows that  $f$  and  $g$  have no pole. Suppose, if possible, that  $z_0$  is a zero of  $f$  of order  $p$ , then  $z_0$  must be a zero of  $[f^n L(f)]^m$  of order  $np - m$ . Since  $n > m + 2$  therefore  $z_0$  must be a zero of  $z^2$  with the order at least 3. This is impossible. Therefore  $f$  has no zero. Hence  $f = e^{\alpha_1}$ , where  $\alpha_1$  is a nonconstant entire function. Similarly we can prove that  $g = e^{\alpha_2}$ , where  $\alpha_2$  is a nonconstant entire function.

This proves the theorem. □

## Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to the referee for reading the manuscript carefully and making a number of valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of the paper. This research work is supported by UGC(ERO), India (No. PSW-105/14-15 dated 26th March 2015).

## Competing Interests

The author declares no competing interests.

## References

- [1] A. Banerjee and S. Mukherjee, “Uniqueness of meromorphic functions whose  $n$ -th derivative share one or two values,” *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 7–19, 2009.
- [2] M. L. Fang and X. H. Hua, “Entire functions that share one value,” *Journal of Nanjing University Mathematical Biquarterly*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 44–48, 1996.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1964.
- [4] W. C. Lin and H. X. Yi, “Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function,” *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 121–132, 2004.
- [5] H. P. Waghmare and S. Anand, “Generalization of Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions Sharing Fixed Point,” *Applied Mathematics*, vol. 07, no. 09, pp. 939–952, 2016.
- [6] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [7] C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003; Chinese original: Science Press, Beijing, 1995.